

Research Article

Open Access

A Study of Curvature Tensors By Using Berwald's and Cartan's Higher-Order Derivatives in Finsler Spaces

Adel Mohammed Ali Al-Qashbari ^{1,2} & Fahmi Ahmed Mothana AL-ssallal ¹

¹ Department. of Math's., Faculty of Education. Aden University, Aden, Yemen

² Department of Engineering, Faculty of the Engineering and Computers,
University of Science & Technology-Aden, Yemen

*Corresponding author: Adel_ma71@yahoo.com

Received: August 02, 2024

Accepted: August 25, 2024

Published: August 27, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 Al-Qashbari A.M.A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative common attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Cite this article as: Al-Qashbari A.M.A, AL-ssallal F.A.M. A Study of Curvature Tensors By Using Berwald's and Cartan's Higher-Order Derivatives in Finsler Spaces. Technological Applied and Humanitarian Academic Journal (TAHAJ). 2024;1(1):1-15.

Abstract

This research investigates the decomposition of curvature tensors in Finsler spaces using higher-order derivatives of Berwald and Cartan connections. By employing these derivatives, we aim to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the geometric structure of Finsler spaces. Previous studies have explored various types of recurrences and their implications for curvature tensors. However, a systematic analysis of decomposition using higher-order derivatives has been lacking. This paper fills this gap by introducing a new approach to decompose curvature tensors and analyzing the properties of the resulting components. Our findings contribute to the existing body of knowledge on Finsler geometry and may have potential applications in related fields. In this paper, we investigate some identities between Weyl's curvature tensor and Cartan's 3th Curvature Tensor R_{jkh}^i . We first introduce the basic concepts of Weyl's tensor W_{jkh}^i and Cartan's 3th Curvature Tensor R_{jkh}^i . Then, we derive some identities between these two tensors. Finally, we apply these identities to some examples.

Keywords: Covariant Derivative of second orders, Weyl Tensor W_{jkh}^i , Cartan's 3th, Curvature Tensor R_{jkh}^i and Cartan's 4th Curvature Tensor K_{jkh}^i

الملخص

يُعد هذا البحث بتحليل موترات الانحناء في الأوساط الفيئرنية باستخدام المشتقات العليا لاتصالات بروالد وكارتان. ويهدف هذا البحث، من خلال استخدام هذه المشتقات، إلى تقديم فهم أكثر شمولية للبنية الهندسية للأوساط الفيئرنية. وقد تناولت الدراسات السابقة أنواعاً مختلفة من التكرار وآثارها على موترات الانحناء، إلا أنه كان هناك نقص في التحليل المنهجي للتحليل باستخدام المشتقات العليا. ويمثل هذا البحث هذه الفجوة من خلال تقديم نهج جديد لتحليل

موترات الانحناء وتحليل خصائص المكونات الناتجة. تساهم نتائجنا في المعرفة الحالية حول الهندسة التفاضلية وقد يكون لها تطبيقات محتملة في المجالات ذات الصلة. في هذه الورقة البحثية، نستطلع على بعض التطابقات بين مُوتر انحناء ويل (ويُعرف أيضًا بمُوتر انحناء التطابق) والموتر التقوسي الثالث لكارثان R_{jkh}^i أولاً، نقدم المظاهر الأساسية لكل من مُوتر انحناء ويل وموتر التقوسي الثالث لكارثان R_{jkh}^i ، ثم نستنتج بعض التطابقات بين هذين المُوترين. وأخيراً، نطبق هذه التطابقات على بعض الأمثلة. كلمات مفتاحية: مشتقة باروارد من الرتبة الثانية، للموتر الأسقاطي لويلي W_{jkh}^i ، وللموتر التقوسي الثالث لكارثان R_{jkh}^i .

INTRODUCTION

Finsler geometry, a generalization of Riemannian geometry, has garnered significant attention due to its applications in various fields, including physics and engineering. A fundamental aspect of Finsler geometry is the study of curvature tensors, which provide insights into the geometric properties of these spaces. In particular, the decomposition of curvature tensors has been a subject of interest for many researchers. Curvature tensors play a pivotal role in understanding the geometric properties of Finsler spaces. While previous research has focused on different types of recurrences and their implications for curvature tensors, a comprehensive study of decomposition using higher-order derivatives of Berwald and Cartan connections is still needed.

This paper addresses this gap by investigating the decomposition of curvature tensors in Finsler spaces using higher-order derivatives. By leveraging these derivatives, we aim to gain deeper insights into the structure and properties of curvature tensors. The results of this study are expected to contribute to the development of Finsler geometry and provide a foundation for further research in this area.

Previous studies, such as those by Al-Qashbari [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], Qasem [16, 17, 18], and Abdallah [1] have explored different types of recurrences and their implications for curvature tensors in Finsler spaces. Additionally, researchers like Ahsan and Ali [3, 4] have investigated curvature tensors in the context of general relativity. However, a comprehensive analysis of curvature tensor decomposition using higher-order derivatives of Berwald and Cartan connections remains an open area.

This paper aims to contribute to this field by investigating the decomposition of curvature tensors in Finsler spaces utilizing the higher-order derivatives of Berwald and Cartan connections. By building upon the foundational work of previous researchers, we seek to uncover new insights into the structure and properties of these tensors. The results of this study are expected to have implications for various areas of Finsler geometry and its applications.

Weyl's tensor W_{jkh}^i and conformal tensor C_{jkh}^i are two important geometric objects in differential geometry. They are both used to study the curvature of spacetime. Weyl's tensor W_{jkh}^i is a conformal invariant, which means that it is invariant under conformal transformations. The conformal tensor C_{jkh}^i is not a conformal invariant, but it is related to Weyl's tensor W_{jkh}^i by a simple formula.

In this paper, we investigate some identities between Weyl's tensor W_{jkh}^i and conformal tensor C_{jkh}^i . We first introduce the basic concepts of Weyl's curvature tensor and conformal curvature tensor. Then, we derive some identities between these two tensors. Finally, we apply these identities to some examples.

The metric tensor g_{ij} and \mathcal{B}_k (Berwald's connection coefficients) G_{jk}^i are positively homogeneous of degree 0 in directional arguments.

Two vectors y_i and y^i meet the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } y_i &= g_{ij} y^j, & \text{b) } y_i y^i &= F^2, & \text{c) } \delta_j^k y^j &= y^k \\ \text{d) } g_{ir} \delta_j^i &= g_{rj} \text{ and } & \text{e) } g^{jk} \delta_k^i &= g^{ji}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

The quantities g_{ij} and g^{ij} are related by [11]

$$g_{ij} g^{jk} = \delta_i^k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = k \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq k \end{cases}. \quad (1.2)$$

Tensor C_{ijk} is known as (h)hv-torsion tensor defined by

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i g_{jk} = \frac{1}{4} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k F^2. \quad (1.3)$$

The (v)hv-torsion tensor C_{ik}^h and tensor C_{ijk} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } C_{jk}^i y^j &= C_{jk}^i y^k = 0, & \text{b) } C_{ijk} y^i &= C_{ijk} y^j = C_{ijk} y^k = 0, \\ \text{c) } g^{jk} C_{ijk} &= C_i & \text{and } & \text{d) } g^{jk} C_{ijh} = C_{ih}^k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Covariant derivative $\mathcal{B}_k T_j^i$ for Berwald's (\mathcal{B}_k) of any tensor T_j^i w. r. t. x^k is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_k T_j^i = \partial_k T_j^i - (\partial_r T_j^i) G_k^r + T_j^r G_{rk}^i - T_r^i G_{jk}^r. \quad (1.5)$$

The vector y^i and metric function F are vanished identically for Berwald's covariant derivative.

$$\text{a) } \mathcal{B}_k F = 0 \text{ and } \text{b) } \mathcal{B}_k y^i = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Metric tensor g_{ij} is not equal to zero (i.e. not vanish), defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_k g_{ij} = -2 C_{ijk} y^h = -2 y^h \mathcal{B}_h C_{ijk}. \quad (1.7)$$

Tensor W_{jkh}^i , torsion tensor W_{jk}^i and deviation tensor W_j^i are defined by:

$$W_{jkh}^i = H_{jkh}^i + \frac{2\delta_j^i}{(n+1)}H_{[hk]} + \frac{2y^i}{(n+1)}\partial_j H_{[kh]} + \frac{\delta_k^i}{(n^2-1)}(nH_{jh} + H_{hj} + y^r\partial_j H_{hr} - \frac{\delta_h^i}{(n^2-1)}(nH_{jk} + H_{kj} + y^r\partial_j H_{kr})) \quad , \quad (1.8)$$

$$W_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i + \frac{y^i}{(n+1)}H_{[jk]} + 2\left\{\frac{\delta_{[j}^i}{(n^2-1)}(nH_{k]} - y^r H_{k]}r)\right\} \quad . \quad (1.9)$$

and $W_j^i = H_j^i - H\delta_j^i - \frac{1}{(n+1)}(\partial_r H_j^r - \partial_j H)y^i$, respectively.

(1.10) The tensors W_{jkh}^i , W_{jk}^i and W_{jk} satisfy the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{a) } W_{jkh}^i y^j = W_{kh}^i, \quad \text{b) } W_{kh}^i y^k = W_h^i, \quad \text{c) } W_{jki}^i = W_{jk} \\ & \text{d) } g_{ir} W_{jkh}^i = W_{rjkh} \quad \text{e) } W_{jkh}^i = -W_{jhk}^i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{f) } W_{jkh}^i + W_{khj}^i + W_{hjk}^i = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Also, if we suppose that the tensor W_j^i satisfy the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{a) } W_k^i y^k = 0, \quad \text{b) } W_i^i = 0, \quad \text{c) } W_k^i y_i = 0, \\ & \text{d) } g_{ir} W_j^i = W_{rj}, \quad \text{e) } g^{jk} W_{jk} = W \quad \text{and} \quad \text{f) } W_{jk} y^k = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

The skew-symmetric in its indices k and h in the tensor W_{jkh}^i .

Cartan's 3th curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i , Ricci tensor R_{jk} , the vector H_k and scalar curvature H are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{a) } R_{jkh}^i = \Gamma_{hjk}^{*i} + (\Gamma_{ljk}^{*i})G_h^l + C_{jm}^i(G_{kh}^m - G_{kl}^m G_h^l) + \Gamma_{mk}^{*i}\Gamma_{jh}^{*m} - k/h, \\ & \text{b) } R_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i, \quad \text{c) } R_{jk} y^j = H_k, \quad \text{d) } R_{jk} y^k = R_j, \\ & \text{e) } R_i^i = R, \quad \text{f) } g_{ir} R_{jkh}^i = R_{rjkh}, \quad \text{g) } R_{jkh}^i = -R_{jhk}^i, \\ & \text{h) } g^{jk} R_{jkh}^i = R_h^i, \quad \text{i) } R_{jki}^i = R_{jk}, \quad \text{t) } H_i y^i = H_i^i = (n-1)H \\ & \text{j) } H_{kh}^i y^k = H_h^i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{k) } H_{ki}^i = H_k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Cartan's 4th curvature tensor K_{jkh}^i , Ricci tensor K_{jk} , vector K_k and scalar curvature K are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{a) } K_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i, \quad \text{b) } K_{jk} y^j = H_k, \quad \text{c) } K_{jk} y^k = K_j, \quad \text{d) } g^{jk} K_{jk} = K, \\ & \text{e) } K_{jki}^i = K_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{f) } g^{jk} K_{jkh}^i = K_h^i. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

We consider an n -dimensional Finsler space F_n in which the Weyls projective curvature tensor denoted by W_{jkh}^i satisfies the following condition (AL-Qashbari & AL-Maisary [10])

$$\mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m W_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}). \quad (1.15)$$

From (1.15) have studied the generalized this space, (1.15) which can be written as

$$\mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m W_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} (W_k^i g_{jh} - W_h^i g_{jk}). \quad (1.16)$$

GENERALIZED -BW-BIRECURRENT SPACE

In this paper, we introduce a new class of Finsler spaces, namely, generalized-BW-birecurrent spaces. These spaces generalize the concept of birecurrence to a broader setting and exhibit interesting geometric properties. We investigate the curvature tensor of these spaces and establish several characterization theorems. Our work in this paper we defined $\mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l$ is covariant derivative of second order.

Taking the covariant derivative of (1.16), with respect to x^l in the sence of Berwald, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i &= (\mathcal{B}_l \lambda_m) W_{jkh}^i + \lambda_m (\mathcal{B}_l W_{jkh}^i) + (\mathcal{B}_l \mu_m) (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) \\ &+ \mu_m \mathcal{B}_l (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l (W_k^i g_{jh} - W_h^i g_{jk}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Using (1.7) and (1.15) in (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i &= \lambda_{ml} W_{jkh}^i + \lambda_m \left(\lambda_l W_{jkh}^i + \mu_l (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} (W_k^i g_{jh} - W_h^i g_{jk}) \right) \\ &+ \mu_{ml} (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (\delta_k^i C_{jhl} - \delta_h^i C_{jkl}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) g_{jh} - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) g_{jk}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{jhl} - W_h^i C_{jkl}). \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i &= (\lambda_{ml} + \lambda_m \lambda_l) W_{jkh}^i + (\mu_{ml} + \lambda_m \mu_l) (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) g_{jh} - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m (W_k^i g_{jh} - W_h^i g_{jk}) \\ &- 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (\delta_k^i C_{jhl} - \delta_h^i C_{jkl}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{jhl} - W_h^i C_{jkl}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The equation (2.2), can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i &= a_{ml} W_{jkh}^i + b_{ml} (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) g_{jh} - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) g_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m (W_k^i g_{jh} - W_h^i g_{jk}) - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (\delta_k^i C_{jhl} - \delta_h^i C_{jkl}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{jhl} - W_h^i C_{jkl}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $a_{ml} = \lambda_{ml} + \lambda_m \lambda_l$ and $b_{ml} = \mu_{ml} + \lambda_m \mu_l$ are non-zero covariant tensors field of second order, respectively.

Definition 2.1. A Finsler space of tensor W_{jkh}^i is called as Wely's projective curvature tensor and is known as satisfies the condition (2.3), will be called a generalized birecurrent space. We shall call this Finsler space as a generalized BW-birecurrent space and we denoted by GBW-BRF_n.

Result 2.1. All a generalized BW-recurrent space is a generalized BW birecurrent space.

Transvecting condition to a higher dimensional space (2.3) by y^j , using (1.1a), (1.4b), (1.6b) and (1.11a), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{kh}^i &= a_{ml} W_{kh}^i + b_{ml} (\delta_k^i y_h - \delta_h^i y_k) + \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) y_h - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) y_k) \\ &+ \lambda_m \frac{1}{4} (W_k^i y_h - W_h^i y_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Again, transvecting condition to a higher dimensional space (2.4) by y^k , using (1.1b), (1.2), (1.6b), (1.11b) and (1.12a), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_h^i = a_{ml} W_h^i + b_{ml} (y^i y_h - \delta_h^i F^2) - \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) F^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W_h^i F^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, the proof of theorem is completed, we can say

Theorem 2.1. In GBW-BRF_n, covariant derivative for Berwald of second order for torsion tensor W_{kh}^i and deviation tensor W_h^i are given by (2.4) and (2.5).

Contracting the indices space by summing over i and h in the conditions (2.3) and using (1.1b), (1.2), (1.11c), (1.12b) and (1.12d), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{jk} &= a_{ml} W_{jk} + b_{ml} (1 - n) g_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W_{jk} \\ &- 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1 - n) C_{jkl} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{jil}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.2. In GBW-BRF_n, the Ricci W_{jk} is generalized birecurrent Finsler space given by the equation (2.6).

Transvecting (2.6) by g^{jk} , and using (1.1e), (1.4c), (1.4d) and (1.12e), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W &= a_{ml} W + b_{ml} (1 - n) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1 - n) C_l \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{il}^k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From conditions (2.7), we show that the curvature scalar W cannot equal to zero because if the vanishing of W would imply $a_{ml} = 0$ and $b_{ml} = 0$, that is a contradiction.

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.3. In GBW-BRF_n, the scalar W in equations (2.7) is non-vanishing.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WELY'S CURVATURE TENSOR AND CARTAN'S 3TH CURVATURE TENSOR R_{jkh}^i

Finsler geometry, as a generalization of Riemannian geometry, provides a powerful framework for modeling a wide range of physical phenomena. In Finsler spaces, the curvature properties of the space are characterized by various curvature tensors, among which Weyl and Cartan's third curvature tensors play a significant role. While the geometric interpretations and physical implications of these tensors have been extensively studied, the relationship between them remains a subject of ongoing research.

This paper aims to investigate the connection between Weyl's curvature tensor and Cartan's third curvature tensor in Finsler spaces. By exploring their algebraic and geometric properties, we seek to establish new identities and inequalities that relate these two tensors. Our findings are expected to contribute to a deeper understanding of the curvature structure of Finsler spaces and provide insights into their applications in physics, such as in the study of gravitational theories and cosmology.

Some properties of W_{jkh}^i curvature tensor was proposed by Ahsan and Ali [3],[4] in (2014).

For $(n = 4)$ a Riemannian space, it is known that Cartan's 3th curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i and Weyl's projective curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i are connected by the formula [1]

$$W_{jkh}^i = R_{jkh}^i + \frac{1}{3}(\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i). \quad (3.1)$$

Taking the covariant derivative of (3.1), with respect to x^m and x^l in the sence of Berwald we get

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i = \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i). \quad (3.2)$$

Using (1.7), in (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m W_{jkh}^i &= \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i) + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_{jkl} \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_{jkm} R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Using (2.3) and (3.2) in (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i &= a_{ml} R_{jkh}^i + b_{ml} (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) g_{jh} - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m (W_k^i g_{jh} - W_h^i g_{jk}) - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (\delta_k^i C_{jhl} - \delta_h^i C_{jkl}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{jhl} - W_h^i C_{jkl}) - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i) - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_{jkl} \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_{jkm} R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i &= a_{ml} R_{jkh}^i + b_{ml} (\delta_k^i g_{jh} - \delta_h^i g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) g_{jh} - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) g_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m (W_k^i g_{jh} - W_h^i g_{jk}) - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (\delta_k^i C_{jhl} - \delta_h^i C_{jkl}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{jhl} - W_h^i C_{jkl}) \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_{jkl} \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_{jkm} R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i) = a_{ml} (\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i). \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.1. In GBW-BRF_n, Cartan's 3th curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i is a generalized birecurrent Finsler space if and only if the tensor $(\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i)$ is a generalized birecurrent Finsler space.

Transvecting condition (3.4) by y^j , using (1.6b), (1.1a), (1.4b), (1.13b) and (1.13c), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H_{kh}^i &= a_{ml} H_{kh}^i + b_{ml} (\delta_k^i y_h - \delta_h^i y_k) + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (\delta_k^i H_h - y_k R_h^i) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) y_h - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) y_k) + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m (W_k^i y_h - W_h^i y_k) \\ &- \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (\delta_k^i H_h - y_k R_h^i) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H_{kh}^i = a_{ml} H_{kh}^i + b_{ml} (\delta_k^i y_h - \delta_h^i y_k) . \quad (3.8)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (\delta_k^i H_h - y_k R_h^i) = a_{ml} (\delta_k^i H_h - y_k R_h^i) . \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{B}_l W_k^i) y_h - (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) y_k = \lambda_m (W_k^i y_h - W_h^i y_k) . \quad (3.10)$$

The proof of theorem is completed, we conclude

Theorem 3.2. In GBW-BRF_n, the covariant derivative of the second orders for the torsion tensor H_{kh}^i is a generalized birecurrent Finsler space if and only if (3.9) and (3.10) holds good.

Transvecting (3.7) by y^k , using (1.6b), (1.1b), (1.1c), (1.12a) and (1.13j), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H_h^i &= a_{ml} H_h^i + b_{ml} (y^i y_h - \delta_h^i F^2) - \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) F^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W_h^i F^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (y^i H_h - F^2 R_h^i) - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (y^i H_h - F^2 R_h^i) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H_h^i = a_{ml} H_h^i + b_{ml} (y^i y_h - \delta_h^i F^2) . \quad (3.12)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (y^i H_h - F^2 R_h^i) = a_{ml} (y^i H_h - F^2 R_h^i) \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{B}_l W_h^i) F^2 = \lambda_m W_h^i F^2 . \quad (3.14)$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.3. In GBW-BRF_n, the covariant derivative of the second orders for the deviation tensor H_h^i is a generalized birecurrent Finsler space if and only if (3.13) and (3.14), holds good.

Contracting the indices i and h in the equations (3.7) and (3.11), respectively and using (1.2), (1.1a), (1.1b), (1.13k), (1.13t), (1.12c) and (1.12b), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H_k = a_{ml} H_k + b_{ml}(1-n) y_k + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (H_k - y_k R) - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (H_k - y_k R). \quad (3.15)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H_k = a_{ml} H_k + b_{ml}(1-n) y_k. \quad (3.16)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (H_k - y_k R) = a_{ml} (H_k - y_k R). \quad (3.17)$$

And

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H = a_{ml} H + b_{ml}(1-n) F^2 + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (H - F^2 R) - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (H - F^2 R). \quad (3.18)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m H = a_{ml} H + b_{ml}(1-n) F^2. \quad (3.19)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (H - F^2 R) = a_{ml} (H - F^2 R). \quad (3.20)$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.4. In GBW-BRF_n, vector H_k and scalar H are given in (3.16) and (3.19) if and only if the conditions (3.17) and (3.20) are holds good, respectively.

Contracting the indices i and h in the equations (3.7) and using (1.1d), (1.1b), (1.13i), (1.13e), (1.12d) and (1.12b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_{jk} &= a_{ml} R_{jk} + b_{ml}(1-n) g_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W_{jk} - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1-n) C_{jkl} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{jil} - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R) + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R) \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_{jkl} \mathcal{B}_m R - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_{jkm} R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_{jk} &= a_{ml} R_{jk} + b_{ml}(1-n) g_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W_{jk} - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1-n) C_{jkl} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{jil} - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_{jkl} \mathcal{B}_m R - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_{jkm} R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R) = a_{ml} (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R). \quad (3.23)$$

In conclusion the proof of theorem is completed, we get

Theorem 3.5. In GBW-BRF_n, R-Ricci tensor R_{jk} is given in (3.22), if and only if the condition (3.23) is holds good.

Transvecting the equation (3.21) by y^k , using (1.6b), (1.1a), (1.1c), (1.4b), (1.12a), (1.12f) and (1.13d), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_j = a_{ml} R_j + b_{ml} (1 - n) y_j + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (R_j - y_j R) - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_j - y_j R). \quad (3.24)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_j = a_{ml} R_j + b_{ml} (1 - n) y_j. \quad (3.25)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_j - y_j R) = a_{ml} (R_j - y_j R). \quad (3.26)$$

Transvecting (3.4) and (3.21) by g^{jk} , using (1.4c), (1.4d), (1.12e) and (1.13h), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i &= a_{ml} R_h^i - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (C_{hl}^i - \delta_h^i C_l) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{hl}^k - W_h^i C_l) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i = a_{ml} R_h^i. \quad (3.28)$$

If and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (C_{hl}^i - \delta_h^i C_l) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{hl}^k - W_h^i C_l) + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i \\ + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R_h^i) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R &= a_{ml} R + b_{ml} (1 - n) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1 - n) C_l \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{hl}^k - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R = a_{ml} R + b_{ml} (1 - n). \quad (3.31)$$

If and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1 - n) C_l - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{hl}^k - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R \\ - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

In conclusion the proof of theorem is completed, we get

Theorem 3.6. In GBW-BRF_n, vector R_j , the projective deviation tensor R_h^i and scalar R are given in (3.25), (3.28) and (3.31) if and only if the conditions (3.26), (3.29) and (3.32) are holds good, respectively.

Transvecting (3.4) by g_{ir} , using (1.1d), (1.12d) and (1.13f), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m R_{rjkh} &= a_{ml} R_{rjkh} + b_{ml} (g_{rk} g_{jh} - g_{rh} g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (g_{rk} R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_{rh}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} ((\mathcal{B}_l W_{rk}) g_{jh} - (\mathcal{B}_l W_{rh}) g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m (W_{rk} g_{jh} - W_{rh} g_{jk}) \\ &\quad - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (g_{rk} C_{jhl} - g_{rh} C_{jkl}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_{rk} C_{jhl} - W_{rh} C_{jkl}) \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_m(g_{rk}R_{jh}-g_{jk}R_{rh})-\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^qC_{jkl}\mathcal{B}_mR_{rh}-\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^q\mathcal{B}_l(C_{jkm}R_{rh}). \quad (3.33)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_mR_{rjkh} &= a_{ml}R_{rjkh} + b_{ml}(g_{rk}g_{jh}-g_{rh}g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4}((\mathcal{B}_lW_{rk})g_{jh}-(\mathcal{B}_lW_{rh})g_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\lambda_m(W_{rk}g_{jh}-W_{rh}g_{jk}) - 2\mu_m\mathcal{B}_qy^q(g_{rk}C_{jhl}-g_{rh}C_{jkl}) \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_qy^q(W_{rk}C_{jhl}-W_{rh}C_{jkl}) - \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^qC_{jkl}\mathcal{B}_mR_{rh} - \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^q\mathcal{B}_l(C_{jkm}R_{rh}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_m(g_{rk}R_{jh}-g_{jk}R_{rh}) = a_{ml}(g_{rk}R_{jh}-g_{jk}R_{rh}). \quad (3.35)$$

Thus, the proof of theorem is completed, we get

Theorem 3.7. In GBW-BRF_n, associate tensor R_{rjkh} (Cartan's 3th curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i) is a generalized birecurrent Finsler space if and only if the condition (3.35) holds good.

It is known that Cartan's 3th curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i and Cartan's 4th curvature tensor K_{jkh}^i are connected by the formula [1]

$$R_{jkh}^i = K_{jkh}^i + C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p. \quad (3.36)$$

Taking the covariant derivative of (3.36), with respect to x^m and x^l in the sence of Berwald we get

$$\mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_mR_{jkh}^i = \mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_mK_{jkh}^i + \mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_m(C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p). \quad (3.37)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.36) in (3.37) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_mK_{jkh}^i &= a_{ml}K_{jkh}^i + b_{ml}(\delta_k^i g_{jh}-\delta_h^i g_{jk}) - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_m(\delta_k^i R_{jh}-g_{jk}R_h^i) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}a_{ml}(\delta_k^i R_{jh}-g_{jk}R_h^i) - \mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_m(C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p) + a_{ml}C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}((\mathcal{B}_lW_k^i)g_{jh}-(\mathcal{B}_lW_h^i)g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_m(W_k^i g_{jh}-W_h^i g_{jk}) - 2\mu_m\mathcal{B}_qy^q(\delta_k^i C_{jhl}-\delta_h^i C_{jkl}) \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_qy^q(W_k^i C_{jhl}-W_h^i C_{jkl}) - \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^qC_{jkl}\mathcal{B}_mR_h^i - \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^q\mathcal{B}_l(C_{jkm}R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_mK_{jkh}^i &= a_{ml}K_{jkh}^i + b_{ml}(\delta_k^i g_{jh}-\delta_h^i g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{4}((\mathcal{B}_lW_k^i)g_{jh}-(\mathcal{B}_lW_h^i)g_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\lambda_m(W_k^i g_{jh}-W_h^i g_{jk}) - 2\mu_m\mathcal{B}_qy^q(\delta_k^i C_{jhl}-\delta_h^i C_{jkl}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_qy^q(W_k^i C_{jhl}-W_h^i C_{jkl}) \\ &-\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^qC_{jkl}\mathcal{B}_mR_h^i - \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{B}_qy^q\mathcal{B}_l(C_{jkm}R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_m(\delta_k^i R_{jh}-g_{jk}R_h^i) = a_{ml}(\delta_k^i R_{jh}-g_{jk}R_h^i). \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_l\mathcal{B}_m(C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p) = a_{ml}C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p. \quad (3.41)$$

Thus, the proof of theorem is completed, we get

Theorem 3.8. In GBW-BRF_n, Cartan's 4th curvature tensor K_{jkh}^i is a generalized birecurrent Finsler space if and only if the tensors $(\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i)$ and $(C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p)$ are a generalized birecurrent Finsler space.

Contracting the indices i and h in the equations (3.38) and using (1.1d), (1.1b), (1.13i), (1.13e), (1.14e), (1.12d) and (1.12b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K_{jk} &= a_{ml} K_{jk} + b_{ml}(1-n) g_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W_{jk} - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1-n) C_{jkl} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{jil} - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R) + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R) \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_{jkl} \mathcal{B}_m R - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_{jkm} R) - \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_{ki}^p) + a_{ml} C_{jp}^i H_{ki}^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K_{jk} &= a_{ml} K_{jk} + b_{ml}(1-n) g_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W_{jk} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W_{jk} - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1-n) C_{jkl} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{jil} - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_{jkl} \mathcal{B}_m R - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_{jkm} R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R) = a_{ml} (R_{jk} - g_{jk} R). \quad (3.44)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p) = a_{ml} C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p. \quad (3.45)$$

Transvecting (3.42) by y^k , using (1.6b), (1.1a), (1.1c), (1.4b), (1.12a), (1.12f), (1.14c) and (1.13d), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K_j &= a_{ml} K_j + b_{ml}(1-n) y_j + \frac{1}{3} a_{ml} (R_j - y_j R) - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_j - y_j R) \\ &- \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_i^p) + a_{ml} C_{jp}^i H_i^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K_j = a_{ml} K_j + b_{ml}(1-n) y_j. \quad (3.47)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (R_j - y_j R) = a_{ml} (R_j - y_j R). \quad (3.48)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_i^p) = a_{ml} C_{jp}^i H_i^p. \quad (3.49)$$

Thus, the proof of theorem is completed, we get

Theorem 3.9. In GBW-BRF_n, K-Ricci tensor K_{jk} and curvature vector K_j is given in (3.43) and (3.47), if and only if the conditions (3.44), (3.45), (3.48) and (3.49) are holds good, respectively.

Transvecting (3.38) and (3.42) by g^{jk} , respectively using (1.4c), (1.4d), (1.12e), (1.14d), (1.14f) and (1.13h), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K_h^i &= a_{ml} K_h^i - g^{jk} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p) + a_{ml} g^{jk} C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (C_{hl}^i - \delta_h^i C_l) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{hl}^k - W_h^i C_l) - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K_h^i &= a_{ml} K_h^i - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (C_{hl}^i - \delta_h^i C_l) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q (W_k^i C_{hl}^k - W_h^i C_l) \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R_h^i - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R_h^i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p) = a_{ml} C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p. \quad \text{Where } g^{jk} \neq 0. \quad (3.52)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K &= a_{ml} K + b_{ml} (1 - n) - g^{jk} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p) + a_{ml} g^{jk} C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1 - n) C_l - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{hl}^k - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m K &= a_{ml} K + b_{ml} (1 - n) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_l W + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m W - 2\mu_m \mathcal{B}_q y^q (1 - n) C_l \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_q y^q W_k^i C_{hl}^k - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q C_l \mathcal{B}_m R - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_q y^q \mathcal{B}_l (C_m R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

If and only if

$$\mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_m (C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p) = a_{ml} C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p. \quad \text{Where } g^{jk} \neq 0. \quad (3.55)$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.10. In GBW-BRF_n, the projective deviation tensor R_h^i and scalar R are given in (3.51) and (3.54) if and only if the conditions (3.52) and (3.55) are holds good, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented a detailed study of the decomposition of curvature tensors in Finsler spaces using higher-order derivatives of Berwald and Cartan connections. Our analysis has revealed new properties and relationships between the components of the decomposed tensors. These findings contribute to a better understanding of the geometric structure of Finsler spaces and may have implications for various applications.

A generalized BW-birecurrent space in Finsler space is satisfied in condition (2.3).

In $\mathcal{GBW}\text{-BRF}_n$, \mathcal{B} -covariant derivatives of the second orders for torsion tensor W_{kh}^i and deviation tensor W_h^i are given by (2.4) and (2.5).

In $\mathcal{GBW}\text{-FRF}_n$, the condition of being necessary and sufficient for the Cartan's 3th tensor R_{jkh}^i is a generalized birecurrent if the equation (3.6) holds. In $\mathcal{GBW}\text{-BRF}_n$, Ricci tensor R_{jk} is a generalized birecurrent if the equation (3.23) holds. In $\mathcal{GBW}\text{-BRF}_n$, the associate curvature tensor $R_{jrk h}$ is a generalized birecurrent if the condition (3.35) holds good.

In $\mathcal{GBW}\text{-BRF}_n$, we get the same relationship between the Weyls projective curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i and the tensors R_{jkh}^i and K_{jkh}^i .

In $\mathcal{GBW}\text{-BRF}_n$, the Cartan's 4th tensor K_{jkh}^i is a generalized birecurrent if and only if the tensors $(\delta_k^i R_{jh} - g_{jk} R_h^i)$ and $(C_{jp}^i H_{kh}^p)$ are a generalized birecurrent Finsler space.

In $\mathcal{GBW}\text{-BRF}_n$, \mathcal{B} -covariant derivatives of the second orders for K-Ricci tensor K_{jk} is a generalized birecurrent if and only if the tensors $(R_{jk} - g_{jk} R)$ and $(C_{jp}^i H_{ki}^p)$ are a generalized birecurrent Finsler space.

The authors argue that further study and advancement in a generalized \mathcal{BW} -birecurrent Finsler spaces is necessary and tie it in with Finsler space's distinctive space features.

Recommendations

Based on the results of this research, we recommend the following directions for future research:

- Explore other types of decompositions: Investigate different decomposition schemes and their corresponding geometric interpretations.
- Investigate the physical implications: Explore the physical implications of the decomposition results, particularly in the context of field theories and cosmology.
- Develop numerical methods: Develop numerical methods for computing the decomposed tensors and analyzing their properties.

Disclaimer

The article has not been previously presented or published, and is not part of a thesis project.

Conflict of Interest

There are no financial, personal, or professional conflicts of interest to declare.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. **Abdallah, A.A.A.** (2017). *On generalized BR-recurrent Finsler space* (M. Sc. Thesis, University of Aden, Aden, Yemen).
2. **Abu-Donia, H., Shenawy, S. & Abdehameed, A.** (2020). The w^* -curvature tensor on relativistic space-times. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 60, 185-195.
3. **Ahsan, Z. & Ali, M.** (2014). On some properties of w -curvature tensor. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 3 (1), 61-69.
4. **Ahsan, Z. & Ali, M.** (2016). Curvature tensor for the spacetime of general relativity. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 2, 1-15.
5. **AL-Qashbari, A. M. A. & Qasem, F.Y.A.** (2017). Study on generalized BR-trirecurrent Finsler Space. *Journal of Yemen Engineer*, 15, 79-89.
6. **AL-Qashbari, A.M.A.** (2020). On generalized for curvature tensors P_{jkh}^i of second order in Finsler space. *University of Aden Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*, 24 (1), 171-176.
7. **AL-Qashbari, A.M.A.** (2019). Some properties for Weyl's projective curvature tensors of generalized W^h -birecurrent in Finsler spaces. *University of Aden Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*, 23, (1), 181-189.
8. **AL-Qashbari, A.M.A.** (2020). Some identities for generalized curvature tensors in \mathcal{B} -Recurrent Finsler space. *Journal of New Theory*, ISSN:2149-1402, 32. 30-39.
9. **AL-Qashbari, A.M.A.** (2020). Recurrence decompositions in Finsler space. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Modeling*, ISSN:2709-5924, 1, 77-86.
10. **AL-Qashbari, A.M.A. & AL-Maisary A.A.S.** (2023). Study on generalized W_{jkh}^i of fourth order recurrent in Finsler space. *Journal of Yemeni Engineer, Univ. Aden*, 17 (2), 72-86.
11. **Baleedi, S.M.S.** (2017). *On certain generalized BK-recurrent Finsler space* (M. Sc. Thesis, University of Aden, Aden, Yemen).
12. **Misra, B., Misra, S. B., Srivastava, K. & Srivastava, R. B.** (2014). Higher order recurrent Finsler spaces with Berwald's curvature tensor field. *Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences*, 4, (1), 624-631.
13. **Goswami, A.** (2017). A study of certain types of special Finsler spaces in differential geometry: Systematic Review. *Journal of Mathematics Applied in Science and Technology*, 9, (1), 23-30. Issn. 0973-6344,
14. **Hadi, W.H.A.** (2016). *Study of certain types of generalized birecurrent in Finsler space* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Aden, Aden, Yemen).
15. **Pandey, P.N., Saxena, S. & Goswami, A.** (2011). On a generalized H-recurrent space. *Journal of International Academy of Physical Sciences*, 15, 201-211.
16. **Qasem, F.Y.A. & Abdallah, A.A.A.** (2017). On generalized BR-recurrent Finsler space. *Electronic Aden University Journal*, (6), 27-33.
17. **Qasem, F.Y.A. & Abdallah, A.A.A.** (2016). On study generalized BR-recurrent Finsler space. *International Journal of Mathematics and its Applications*, 4 (2-B), 113-121.
18. **Qasem, F.Y.A. & Baleedi, S.M.S.** (2016). On a generalized BK-recurrent Finsler space. *International Journal of Science Basic and Applied Research*, 28 (3), 195-203.
19. **Rund, H.** (1981). *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Göttingen-Heidelberg, 2nd Edit. (in Russian), Nauka, (Moscow).